

OBJ 121

From: Hamish Monro
Sent: 22 May 2018 14:17
To: TRANSPORTANDWORKSACT
Subject: Re: Proposed new railway crossing on A21 at Robertsbridge, East Sussex

H A D Monro

> On 22 May 2018, at 14:11, TRANSPORTANDWORKSACT <TRANSPORTANDWORKSACT@dft.gov.uk> wrote:

>

> Dear Mr Monro,

>

> Thank you for your e-mail, in order to formally register your objection we require a postal address.

>

> Many thanks

> Shenaz

>

> Ms Shenaz Choudhary | Transport Works Act Order, Department for

> Transport

> 1/14 | 020 7944 6848 |

>

>

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Hamish Monro [mailto:

> Sent: 22 May 2018 13:05

> To: TRANSPORTANDWORKSACT <TRANSPORTANDWORKSACT@dft.gov.uk>

> Subject: Proposed new railway crossing on A21 at Robertsbridge, East

> Sussex

>

> I am writing to object to the proposed crossing and the use of compulsory purchase powers over the land required for the extension to the track.

>

> As a vintage vehicle enthusiast, I have vintage cars and an old biplane, I am very enthusiastic for any historic means of transport, however to extend the Rother Valley Railway at the expense of access to Hastings and surrounding area is not acceptable. Our transport links are poor enough as it is, let alone with further disruption for a hobby railway line. Perhaps they could look into constructing a fly-over for the A21 to go over their railway line - if everyone else involved is in agreement for it to go ahead? I also feel strongly that local landowners should not be subject to the threat of compulsory purchase orders for this railway line - it is not a main line being constructed in the mid 1800s, it is a hobby line in the early 21st century.

>

> The current pedestrian crossing on the A21 could easily be made into an over bridge if / when the road is upgraded; this would not be a possibility for the steam engines!

>

> Hamish Monro

>