Rep/10

Shenaz Choudhary

From: Rod Wrenn
Sent: 16 May 2018 17:49
To: TRANSPORTANDWORKSACT
Subject: Re: A 21 rail crossing

Postal address
R Wrenn

Sent from my iPad

> On 16 May 2018, at 2:15 pm, TRANSPORTANDWORKSACT <TRANSPORTANDWORKSACT@dft.gov.uk> wrote:
>
> Dear Mr Wrenn,
>
> Thank you for your e-mail, In order to formally register your representation we require a postal address.
>
> Many thanks
> Shenaz Choudhary
>
> Ms Shenaz Choudhary | Transport Works Act Order, Department for
> Transport
> 1/14 | 020 7944 6848 |
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Rod Wrenn
> Sent: 16 May 2018 12:21
> To: TRANSPORTANDWORKSACT <TRANSPORTANDWORKSACT@dft.gov.uk>
> Subject: A 21 rail crossing
>
> Dear Sir/ madam,
>
> I gather comment is required about the proposed rail crossing across the A 21 at Robertsbridge.
>
> Running a small local business we rely on the A21 being unhindered for vehicle movements. I know the rail enthusiasts maintain the the crossing will not be used many times in the day and the gates will be closed for only a couple of minutes, but this is all it takes to cause a tail back on this particular road. There are traffic lights at Flimwell that already case a tail back to Husrt Green at peak times in the day. I have also been held up by the pedestrian crossing over the A21 on occasions and this only stops the traffic for seconds.
>
> It seems to me that the A21 is already operating at a level beyond its capacity and the scale of house building in the area will only put added pressure to an already extremely busy road. Placing additional obstructions on a road that was designed as a bypass seems rather short sighted.
>
> Indeed the level crossing at Beddingham caused such tailbacks on the A27 that it was thought necessary to bridge the intersection and so the traffic could carry on moving without any hinderance. In any case, I can only think that the volume of traffic is greater on the A21 than the A27 at Beddingham.
>

> It seems to me that the interests of the local population is being ignored, indeed the locals will be the ones who are left with the inconvenience of this project.
>
> The argument that it will benefit the village I find a little wide of the mark as it's difficult to imagine that the passengers will only change platforms and go onto Tenterden for their purchases and refreshments.
>
> Yours sincerely rwrenn
>
> Sent from my iPad
>
> _____
> This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
> For more information please visit http://www.symanteccloud.com
> _____
>
> **********
> The information in this email may be confidential or otherwise protected by law. If you received it in error, please let us know by return e-mail and then delete it immediately, without printing or passing it on to anybody else.
> Incoming and outgoing e-mail messages are routinely monitored for compliance with our policy on the use of electronic communications and for other lawful purposes.

_____
This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit http://www.symanteccloud.com
_____