

## **Response to questions asked of Mr Prest in respect of S27 and S69**

1. **With respect to optioneering for S27, no MSL option is recorded in my proof.** I confirm this was optioneered and did not produce a positive CBA (ie one that was supportive of taking the option forward).
2. **Regarding bridge costs at S27 of £2.5m** – I have checked with the LCM and the extra £0.5m was added by the LCM as he believed that additional land may need to be purchased if a bridge was to be built at this location. We do encourage LCM's to use their judgement on potential costs if they believe it may be more difficult/easier at individual locations to implement an option.
3. **There was also an outstanding issue re S69.** Having re-checked the photos in my proof I am confident that the only issue with the photographs being wrongly labelled was on S69 Bacton where the compass points were the wrong way round (North was South and South was North). This did not affect the information in the sighting tables at all.