THE PROPOSED NETWORK RAIL (SUFFOLK LEVEL CROSSING REDUCTION) ORDER

Operational Impacts of level crossings

- 1. During the course of Mr Prest's general evidence, the Inspector requested information as to any specific examples within the Suffolk Order of operational impacts that can arise from level crossings as discussed in Dr Algaard's Proof of Evidence at paragraphs 2.4.1 to 2.4.5.
- 2. There has been 4 occasions in 2017 at S22 Weatherby where trains have been cautioned following 4 near misses with pedestrians. Crossing S25 Cattishall had a fatality in 2014, which involved trains being cautioned.
- 3. There are no level crossings within the Suffolk Order that have had recorded incidents involving gates being left open as these are typically associated with vehicular level crossings.¹
- 4. The table below outlines the recorded number of times that the track has been tamped over each level crossing. There are no recorded TTROs being applied for to cover these works.

Id	Asset Description	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	Grand Total
S01	SEA WALL	2	1	0	0	0	3	6
S02	BRANTHAM HIGH BRIDGE	1	3	0	0	0	0	4
S03	BUXTON WOOD	2	2	0	1	0	0	5
S04	ISLAND	1	2	1	0	0	0	4
S07	BROOMFIELD	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
S08	STACPOOL	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
S11	LEGGETTS	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
S12	GOODERHAMS	1	2	0	1	0	1	5
S13	FORDS GREEN	1	0	2	0	0	0	3
S16	GISSLINGHAM	1	1	0	0	3	2	7
S17	PAYNES	1	0	1	0	2	0	4
S18	COWPASTURE	1	0	3	1	2	0	7
S21	ABBOTTS	1	0	2	0	0	0	3
S22	WEATHERBY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S23	HIGHAM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S24	HIGHAM GROUND FRAME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S25	CATTISHALL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S27	BARRELS NO. 19	0	1	0	1	0	0	2
S28	GROVE FARM NO. 20	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
S29	HAWK END LANE NO. 27	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
S30	LORDS	0	0	0	1	0	0	1

¹ There are examples of such incidents at level crossings contained within the proposed Network Rail (Cambridgeshire Level Crossing Reduction) Order.

1	r	1	r		I	1	I	·i
S31	MUTTON HALL NO. 30	1	1	3	1	0	4	10
S69	BACTON	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Grand Total	14	15	13	8	7	11	68

- 5. There are no recorded occasions of the ride quality being impacted due to the inability of NR to close a level crossing. This can be explained by the fact that in the case of the level crossings above the track tamping and maintenance works carried out over the past 5 years has been completed without the need for closing the level crossing.
- 6. In terms of closure for other maintenance works, S01 Sea Wall was also subject to a TTRO from May 2011 to August 2012 due to insufficient sighting caused by vegetation. Network Rail also undertook works to renew the steps leading down to the level crossing during that closure: if undertaken at a different time, those works would have otherwise required the crossing to be closed under a TTRO.