

---

**From:** John Finbow <

**Sent:** 18 April 2018 12:37

**To:** [joannavinc@personaassociates.co.uk](mailto:joannavinc@personaassociates.co.uk); Mssrs E Hudson Baker

**Subject:** Support for Mr Baker (obj 26)re proposed crossing closures S69 and S13

I give my full support to Mr Paul Baker with his compromise plan regarding the above proposed crossings of the London -Norwich rail line,we have discussed rigorously options around these proposals and feel we have compromised to accommodate these plans which some of us concerned feel will be forced upon us purely for the benefit of Network Rail (NR) anyway! I feel NR have made no moves to come to the table to facilitate the requirements that we see as necessary, so as not to detrimentally affect the running of our businesses!

I have been fed misleading information by NR's representatives at varying points along the process of this proposition, and found it difficult to keep a thread and actually derive what NR want,and feel as I have indicated before the result, is heading for a "whitewash" in NR's favour.

Mr Baker indicated to me that you used my initial written evidence, to defend the need for the diversion of S13,at the inquiry, this I feel is wrong because IF S13 were to be closed I would not walk that way at all, the distance to access my land would be twice as far,I would alternatively drive to Cow Creek Crossing and walk from there! So I do not wish to be used as a reason for the diversion,as I used the crossing before (S13) because it was there!

I trust the site visit by the Inspector on 16th May 2018 is not just part of the process, and that he is prepared to listen to The Stakeholders point of view!

Writing in full support of Mr Bakers alternative plan!

Regards

John Finbow

(Finbows Bacton 1991 Ltd)