Caroline O'Neill

From:	Roger Wolfe
Sent:	10 April 2017 14:44
To:	TRANSPORTANDWORKSACT
Subject:	"Network Rail Suffolk Level Crossing Reduction Order"

Reference: Crossing S01 Sea Wall, Parish of Brantham

Dear Sir/Madam

I wish to place on record my objection to the proposal to close the above crossing to public use.

I have no objection in principle, provided that a suitable alternative route is provided. However, following a site inspection I consider that the proposed alternative route is across unsuitable terrain and is not sustainable. It will also deprive walkers, bird watchers access to sections of the sea wall, one of the finest estuarine viewpoints in East Anglia.

I urge the Secretary of State to reject the proposal as it stands.

Yours faithfully

Roger Wolfe

10 April 2017

From:	Roger Wolfe ███████████████
Sent:	10 April 2017 15:32
To:	TRANSPORTANDWORKSACT
Subject:	"NetworkRail Suffolk Level Crossing Reduction Order"

Reference: Crossing S 31 Mutton Hall, Parish of Wetherden

Dear Sir/Madam

I wish to place on record my objection to the proposal to close the above crossing to public use.

My objection is because the railway line, viewed from the crossing is straight for long distances in both directions and the sight lines are good, so the risk to users is minimal. By contrast the proposed alternative route via the the nearest road bridge will expose pedestrians to the danger of a narrow carriageway where sight lines are very limited. The danger to pedestrians using the road bridge will be far greater than if they use the existing rail crossing. This hazard is in addition to an inconveniently longer and very indirect walking route.

I urge the Secretary of State to reject the proposal.

Yours faithfully

Roger Wolfe

████████████████████████

10 April 2017

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit http://www.symanteccloud.com

---