

From: Sue Minta
Sent: 04 May 2017 12:28
To: TRANSPORTANDWORKSACT
Subject: Re: E41 Paget Road Wivenhoe and E42 Sand Pit Alresford

Sorry, yes it's as follows: [REDACTED]

Sent from my iPad

On 4 May 2017, at 11:25, TRANSPORTANDWORKSACT
<TRANSPORTANDWORKSACT@dft.gsi.gov.uk> wrote:

Dear Madam,

Thank you for your e-mail. Could you please provide a full postal address so that it can be registered formally as an objection?

Kind regards,

Angela Foster

Mrs Angela Foster | Transport and Works Act Orders Unit | Legal Advisers | Department for Transport

Tel: +44(0)20 7944 2474 | Email: angela.foster@dft.gsi.gov.uk
Zone 1/14, Great Minster House, 33 Horseferry Road, London, SW1P 4DR

My usual working hours are 7.30-4.00, Tuesday to Thursday.

From: Sue Minta [<mailto:>]
Sent: 04 May 2017 11:17
To: TRANSPORTANDWORKSACT <TRANSPORTANDWORKSACT@dft.gsi.gov.uk>
Subject: E41 Paget Road Wivenhoe and E42 Sand Pit Alresford

Dear Sir/Madam

I am writing to object to the proposed closure of these two pedestrian crossings.

The first - E41 - is in the centre of the village and provides easy access between the two halves of the old village which is bisected by the railway. Trains necessarily run slowly past the crossing as it lies close to the station, and it enjoys good visibility. The crossing is popular and used regularly by local people.

The second - E42 - is in the countryside and provides a much safer means of crossing the track than the adjacent road bridge which is the suggested alternative. I regularly use this pedestrian crossing in preference to the road bridge as the traffic is often fast and furious, the bridge narrow, and without a pavement of any sort. There is not even a margin to the road across the bridge. In expecting people to use this road bridge Network Rail is exposing them to far more risk than represented by the Sand Pit crossing which enjoys excellent sight lines.

In addition both crossing add significantly to the amenity and character of the their locations. Their

closure might provide Network Rail with a marginal reduction in arithmetic risk at the level of aggregated national statistics, but the loss will be for real local people.

Sue Minta

Sent from [Outlook](#)

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

The information in this email may be confidential or otherwise protected by law. If you received it in error, please let us know by return e-mail and then delete it immediately, without printing or passing it on to anybody else.
Incoming and outgoing e-mail messages are routinely monitored for compliance with our policy on the use of electronic communications and for other lawful purposes.

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>
