

Angela Foster

085/119

From: Robert
Sent: 09 May 2017 20:36
To: TRANSPORTANDWORKSACT
Cc: 'Robert'
Subject: Network Rail Essex and others level crossing reduction order Parish of Feering
Attachments: Scan0360.pdf; Scan0361.pdf

Importance: High

Dear Sirs , I am objecting to the provision of a new footpath as detailed in the attachments to this email ,please confirm receipt of this email .

Yours Sincerely,


Robert Crayston
Old Wills Farm Office
Little Tey Road
Feering
Essex
CO5 9RP

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

COUNTY OF ESSEX, DISTRICT OF BRAINTREE
PARISH OF FEERING

HIGHWAY(S) TO BE STOPPED UP TEMPORARILY
Footpath EX 78 7 (Feering) between points P206, P206A, P207, P208 and P209
Path not on definitive map between points P206A, P211 and P208
Byway Open to All Traffic BOAT EX 78 5 (Feering) within order limits

DRAWING CONTINUES ON SHEET 3



Secretary of State for Transport
General Counsel's Office,
Dept for Transport
Zone 1/18,
Great Minster House,
SW1P 4DR

JR Crayston & Sons Ltd,
Old Wills farm,
Little Tey Rd,
Feering,
Colchester
CO5 9RP.
09/05/2017

Dear Sirs ,

I wish to object for the second time to the proposed new footpath between points 206 and 201 on the attached map. I agree with the closure of the footpath between points 206A and 209, It is seldom used and is an unnecessary hazard to users on the rail track and footpath. I do not agree with the new proposed path as above. It is not required as the path between points Bridleway EX 78/24 to point 210 and on to Little Tey Rd serves the same purpose. Also the footpath EX 78/7 Feering is not a definitive one and almost never used so there is no case to provide an extra connecting one along side the railway in our field. If this happened our field 588400E would have footpaths on three sides and a road on the other. This would have no benefit to wildlife or agricultural activities, in fact it would be detrimental to both. For these reasons I strongly object to the proposed new path and wish to see it deleted from the proposals.

Yours Sincerely,



Robert Crayston, Director, JR Crayston & Sons Ltd