

**From:** [Joanna Vincent](#)  
**To:** [Andrew Renshaw](#)  
**Cc:** [Simon Williams](#); [John Hatton](#); [Natalie Richards](#); [Liz Higgins](#)  
**Bcc:** [143245\\_001\\_Bristol Airport Public Inquiry E\\_Mails](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Draft Inquiry Timetable [GATELEY-GHAM.FID54667]  
**Date:** 12 July 2021 14:04:47

---

Dear Andrew

Further to your email regarding timetabling matters, the Inspectors have had chance to review BALPA's proofs and consider that the evidence is best heard during w/c 30 August which is currently scheduled for Highways. We note that some of your case relates to Green Belt Very Special Circumstances matters but are interrelated with highways issue. While there is some overlap, they are content that the Highways topic will be the best fit for your evidence. Very Special Circumstances are due to be discussed as part of the Planning Balance and is currently scheduled for 27 September. If you feel strongly that it is relevant to give evidence in that session, we will endeavour to ensure that this can be achieved, but in light of your time constraints and availability of advocates, w/c 30 August would appear most sensible at this stage to hear all of your evidence.

Having reviewed the proofs the Inspectors would also like to take this opportunity to raise some concerns. In particular, they question the relevance of BALPA's evidence regarding the location of staff parking at the airport to this appeal. The Inspectors note that the current parking arrangements, which BALPA are clearly aggrieved, formed part of a previous planning permission and were considered at that time. Changes to staff parking arrangements do not form part of the current development proposed at appeal and while we appreciate the nature of the objections of BALPA, the Inspectors have concerns that Inquiry is not an appropriate forum to re-consider these issues as this would be a matter which should be taken up directly with the airport's management. Evidence called by BALPA in relation to this Inquiry should be clearly relevant to the proposed development and may include evidence to rebut the Appellant's case that there are no viable alternatives to the Silver Zone extension. However, please ensure BALPA's evidence does not stray into areas that were previously considered and consented by the Council.

Kind regards

**Joanna Vincent**  
**Public Inquiry Manager**  
for Gateley Hamer  
t: [0148 323 0164](tel:01483230164)  
m: [07483 133 975](tel:07483133975)  
ext: 2305  
[joanna.vincent@gateleyhamer.com](mailto:joanna.vincent@gateleyhamer.com)

[View my full profile here](#)



---

**From:** Andrew Renshaw <[andrewrenshaw24@yahoo.co.uk](mailto:andrewrenshaw24@yahoo.co.uk)>  
**Sent:** 01 July 2021 10:16  
**To:** Joanna Vincent <[Joanna.Vincent@gateleyhamer.com](mailto:Joanna.Vincent@gateleyhamer.com)>  
**Cc:** Simon Williams <[simonwilliams@balpa.org](mailto:simonwilliams@balpa.org)>; John Hatton <[johnhatton@balpa.org](mailto:johnhatton@balpa.org)>  
**Subject:** Draft Inquiry Timetable

Dear Joanna

Further to my letter e-mailed to you on 30 April about the earlier draft inquiry timetable, please would you give consideration to its contents. I attach the letter for ease of reference.

Unfortunately, in the absence of a window being identified for our intended counsel's attendance, we have not been able to retain Mr Williams, due to the uncertainty as to when he might be required to attend the inquiry. We consider that the evidence of John Hatton 'Staff Car parking', Simon Williams 'Remote Staff car parking and Flight safety' and mine 'Green Belt and Car Parking' is inter-related, however, it is still not clear from the latest running order issued in your e-mail of 23 June where our evidence would sit. The previous issue had a topic at the end of the running order entitled 'Planning issues and balance (including Green Belt VSC)', which I suggested was the best fit for BALPA's evidence.

The topic order suggested by BAL appears to have a more logical order to it and in that order, highways (including car parking demand) precedes planning issues and balance (including Green Belt harm and very special circumstances). If our evidence was considered to fall between these two topics, at least our attendance would not be subject to the lengthy gap that would occur if we were to fall between Green Belt and Highways or Planning Balance.

You will appreciate that BALPA's involvement is quite narrow and BALPA's resources have suffered from the continuing huge impact of the pandemic on its members, so we would be grateful if the timetable can ensure that BALPA's evidence can be given back to back.

### **Availability**

The only known dates that we cannot make ourselves available are Tuesday and Wednesday 28 /29 September.

John Hatton would be unavailable in person between Friday 10 -Friday 17th September but could attend virtually on these days.

Simon Williams is affected by airline schedules, though we do not know at this point what level of services will be operating. He advises that he normally works the afternoon /evening shift, so a morning time would be safer.

I look forward to seeing the draft timetable.

Regards

Andrew Renshaw  
for BALPA