Dear Sir/Madam

I am a resident of Addenbrooke's Road about 1km from the central CBC campus. The additional railway services will be of great personal use to me, but I am anxious about a variety of fundamental general aspects, including environmental and wildlife impacts, as under.

1. Adequacy of the Station Building and Facilities

esign and Access Statement - Contex

The design and access statement and other documents make no mention or appreciation of the additional demands that 4 calling tph in each direction will be made by the EWR (Oxford to Cambridge) plan if it adopts a southern route as is likely. The D&A map below doesn't even mention the line. Of course, the Oxford connection will be of great value to scientists and health care workers on the CBC site and much used by them, in view of research and other links with Oxford. But many authorities question the adequacy of the station for the present proposals: it will be quite inadequate for enhanced EWR requirements. Please could the design adequacy be re-thought with EWR in mind? I appreciate that this may mean an enlarged station.

To Birmingham

Peterborough

Ely

Cambridge North

Cambridge South

Stansted Airport

St Pancras International

Street

Maidstone

Brighton

Figure 2-32: Route map with the new station, Cambridge South indicated

2. Duplication of work and consequent waste if Cambridge South Station and associated works are not integrated with those consequent to the introduction of EWR

EWR (with a southern approach) will require four-line working from Cambridge main station to Shepreth junction (and possibly beyond). Cambridge South Station proposals only involve four line working in the station itself. Two separate trackwork projects as opposed to a combined one would lead to clear additional costs and double the substantial inconvenience to local people. Please could the proposals be brought together and the work combined?

3. Unnecessary land 'take' both temporarily during construction and permanently

Many local residents are appalled at the plans for the extent of temporary land 'take', especially in Hobson's Park: their extent seems quite unnecessary to the lay mind, as do the permanent take proposals. Hobson's park was provided towards mitigation of the large new housing developments in

Trumpington: it is galling that the park may be reduced by the needs of a railway station, beneficial though this will be to the community. Please could 'take' be re-thought and reduced?

And on one specific: a proposed sub-station is planned (see diagram) in an area of wildlife to the south of Addenbrooke's Road bridge. Whilst not quite 'a haunt of coot and tern', this is a part of a field rich in wildlife, including a home to birds and hares, both of which I see when out walking. We have repeatedly suggested that the sub-station could be located elsewhere, ideally under the bridge where there is a large area of waste ground, but we have never had a response from NR. Please could this be re-considered?



Thank you for considering my comments

Sincerely

Richard

Richard Wakeford MA CPsychol FRCP Edin FRCGP (Hon) FHEA