From: Gurtler, David Sent: 20 May 2021 08:47

To: 'Purnell, Edward' <edward.purnell@woodplc.com>

**Subject:** RE: 19 mppa - confirmation of how updates will be treated.

Ed

Yes, we will treat it as further information. We were expecting it as part of the planning application submission to inform the climate change chapter and the way that GHGs would be treated/mitigated.

David

From: Purnell, Edward Sent: 19 May 2021 18:06

To: Gurtler, David

**Subject:** RE: 19 mppa - confirmation of how updates will be treated.

## <CAUTION: This email came from an external source - only open links and attachments you are expecting>

Hi David,

Further to the email below, can you confirm if you will treat the Carbon Reduction Plan as further information under Regulation 25?

Thanks. Edd

From: Gurtler, David Sent: 29 April 2021 09:27

To: 'Purnell, Edward'; 'James Dontas'

**Cc:** 'Hinnells, Mark'; 'Vernon Cole'; Sahadevan, Sunil; Frost, Sue **Subject:** 19 mppa - confirmation of how updates will be treated.

Ed

I had a long conversation with Mark Hinnells yesterday and I have also spoken with Sunil re the Ricardo comments.

I will forward Ricardo's final comments when I have received them.

In answer to your questions:

1. With regard to the information that you submit in response to the noise comments raised by Vernon Cole, whilst some of that information may be points of clarification, we consider that

- additional information which is directly relevant to reaching a reasoned conclusion on the likely significant effects of the development is required and so we will be treating the submission of further information as information required under Regulation 25 of the EIA Regs 2017.
- 2. In relation to the comments from Mark Hinnells, with the rapidly changing position since the Committee on Carbon Change (CCC) produced its 6<sup>th</sup> Carbon Budget in December 2020, we consider that further information is required in order for the LPA to make a decision with regard to the significant effects of the proposed development in relation to greenhouse gas emissions. Accordingly, a response to Ricardo's comments will be treated as further information under Reg 25.
- 3. The Carbon Reduction Plan (CRP) is critical to providing the LPA with an understanding of how the airport will tackle carbon emissions. Since we last met to discuss the Draft CRP things have moved on, with the Government announcing the adoption of some of the recommendations of the CCC with a 78% reduction in CO2 by 2035 (15 years ahead of its original target) and the inclusion of international aviation and shipping in the target. This means that the target for all airports will have to be revisited, and my understanding is that whilst the Stansted Public Inquiry had closed prior to the Government announcement, the parties to the Inquiry have been asked to address this point.

I appreciate that we had thought that we'd reached agreement on the contents of the CRP at our last meeting, but the Govt announcement on the 20 April came after our meeting and this must be taken into account.

Re	ga	rd	S
.,,	'nυ	ıu	J

David