



# New City Court TVIBHA – Erratum Notice

---

## INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 This erratum notice sets out corrections to New City Court Environmental Statement Part 3: Townscape, Visual Impact, and Built Heritage Assessment (December 2018).

### Chapter 5 Townscape Assessment (page 329)

- 1.2 Paragraph 5.894 should read as follows:

*'This would be a change of **minor to moderate** magnitude to a TCA of **medium to high** sensitivity. The significance would be moderate. The effect would be **neutral**'.*

- 1.3 Paragraphs 5.896 - 5.898 should be deleted:

~~5.896 The scale and location of the cumulative schemes, relative to the townscape character area and its relationship with the Site, are such that the cumulative effect would be the same as for the Development.~~

~~5.897 This would be a change of **insignificant to minor** magnitude to a TCA of **high** sensitivity. The significance would be **minor**. The effect would be **neutral**.~~

~~5.898 The effect is at regional level and long term'.~~

### Table 3-2 – Summary of Likely Significant Effects, Mitigation Measures and Likely Residual Effects (Chapter 5, page 331)

- 1.4 Under the table heading 'Townscape Character Areas (TCA)', the Likely Significant Effect for TCA 5 - North Bank should read as follows:

*'Long-term, regional, neutral effect of moderate significance'*

### Chapter 7 Cumulative Effects Assessment (page 334)

- 1.5 Paragraph 7.3 should read as follows:

*'In terms of TCAs, the significance of effect as a result of the Works in the cumulative scenario would be 'moderate to major' in significance and adverse in effect in respect of TCA 1 (a significant effect), 'moderate' in significance and adverse in effect in respect of TCA 5 (a significant effect), and 'minor' in significance and adverse in effect in respect of all other TCAs (not a significant in effect).'*

Peter Stewart Consultancy  
Somerset House  
Strand, London WC2R 1LA

June 2020