

## GREATER**LONDON**AUTHORITY



	Project / Site Name (including sub- catchment / stage / phase where appropriate)	- NEW CITY COURT	
	Address & post code	4-26 St Thomas Street, London, SE1 9RS	
	OS Grid ref. (Easting, Northing)	E 532720	
10	O3 GHd Tel. (Easting, Northing)	N 180155	
tails	LPA reference (if applicable)		
1. Project & Site Details	Brief description of proposed work	The project comprises the construction of a 26-storey building (with mezzanine and two basement levels), adjacent to King's Head Yard, after demolishing the existing New City Court office building.	
	Total site Area	2,980 m <sup>2</sup>	
	Total existing impervious area	2,980 m <sup>2</sup>	
	Total proposed impervious area	2,980 m <sup>2</sup>	
	Is the site in a surface water flood risk catchment (ref. local Surface Water Management Plan)?	Yes	
	Existing drainage connection type and location	Outfalls to Kings Head Yard and St Thomas Street - to be verified by CCTV	
	Designer Name	Dariusz Nowacki	
	Designer Position	Associate Civil Engineer	
	Designer Company	AKT II	

	2a. Infiltration Feasibility				
	Superficial geology classification	Made ground, Alluvium, Kempton Park Gravels, London Clay		•	
	Bedrock geology classification	Lambeth Group (Unproductive Stratum)		tive Stratum)	
	Site infiltration rate	m/s			
	Depth to groundwater level	5 m below ground le		w ground level	
	Is infiltration feasible?	No			
	2b. Drainage Hierarchy				
ments			Feasible (Y/N)	Proposed (Y/N)	
ang	1 store rainwater for later use		Υ	N	
ırge Arr	2 use infiltration techniques, such as porous surfaces in non-clay areas		N	N	
2. Proposed Discharge Arrangements	3 attenuate rainwater in ponds or open water features for gradual release		N	N	
	4 attenuate rainwater by storing in tanks or sealed water features for gradual release		Υ	Υ	
2. P	5 discharge rainwater direct to a w	N	N		
	6 discharge rainwater to a surface water sewer/drain		N	N	
	7 discharge rainwater to the combined sewer.		Υ	Υ	
	2c. Proposed Discharge Details				
	Proposed discharge location	Outfalls to Kings H.Yard and St Thomas S		St Thomas St.	
	Has the owner/regulator of the discharge location been consulted?	No			



## GREATER**LONDON**AUTHORITY



	3a. Discharge Rates & Required Storage						
		Greenfield (GF) runoff rate (I/s)	Existing discharge rate (I/s)	Required storage for GF rate (m <sup>3</sup> )	Proposed discharge rate (I/s)		
	Qbar	1.09	$\backslash\!\!\!/$				
	1 in 1	0.93	30.9	50	3.49		
	1 in 30	2.62	64.9	100	3.49		
	1 in 100	3.49	84.4	130	3.49		
	1 in 100 + CC		><	190	3.49		
	Climate change allowance used		40%				
3. Drainage Strategy	3b. Principal Method of Flow Control		Blue roof systems				
e St	3c. Proposed SuDS Measures						
inag			Catchment	Plan area	Storage		
Dra			area (m²)	(m²)	vol. (m³)		
3.	Rainwater harvesting		0	$\geq \leq$	0		
	Infiltration systems		0	$\geq \leq$	0		
	Green roofs		0	0	0		
	Blue roofs		2290	1830	157		
	Filter strips		0	0	0		
	Filter drains		0	0	0		
	Bioretention / tree pits		0	365	0		
	Pervious pavements Swales		365	365	23		
			0	0	0		
	Basins/ponds Attenuation tanks		325	U	ŭ		
	Total		2980	2195	10 <b>190</b>		
	TOTAL		2300	2133	130		

	4a. Discharge & Drainage Strategy	Page/section of drainage report		
u	Infiltration feasibility (2a) – geotechnical factual and interpretive reports, including infiltration results	N/A - proposed structure takes up the full site area, therefore it is not possible to infiltrate		
	Drainage hierarchy (2b)	Page 7 / Section 4.3		
	Proposed discharge details (2c) – utility plans, correspondence / approval from owner/regulator of discharge location	Page 21 / Appenidx 2 Section 4.3 / Page 8		
ormatic	Discharge rates & storage (3a) – detailed hydrologic and hydraulic calculations	Page 4 / Section 4		
4. Supporting Information	Proposed SuDS measures & specifications (3b)	Pages 17 to 19 / Appendix 1		
	4b. Other Supporting Details	Page/section of drainage report		
Sup	Detailed Development Layout	Page 5 / Section 3		
4.	Detailed drainage design drawings, including exceedance flow routes	Detailed design not avaiable Strategy plans contained in Appendix 1		
	Detailed landscaping plans	Strategy contained in Appendix 1		
	Maintenance strategy	Page 14 / Section 8		
	Demonstration of how the proposed SuDS measures improve:			
	a) water quality of the runoff?	N/A - dicharge to combined sewer		
	b) biodiversity?	Green areas at Levels 25,26 and GF		
	c) amenity?	Green areas at Levels 25,26 and GF		