For official use only (date received): 18/05/2022 10:15:19

The Planning Inspectorate

COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable. This can be found in the notification letter sent by the local planning authority or the start date letter. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

Appeal Reference: APP/B0230/V/22/3296455

DETAILS OF THE CASE

Appeal Reference	APP/B0230/V/22/3296455
Application By	LONDON LUTON AIRPORT OPERATIONS LIMITED (LLAOL)
Site Address	London Luton Airport Airport Way Luton LU2 9LY Grid Ref Easting: 511908 Grid Ref Northing: 220942

SENDER DETAILS

Name	MR PAUL BARNES
Address	[redacted]

ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS

In what capacity do you wish to make representations on this case?

- ☐ Applicant
- ☐ Agent
- ☑ Interested Party / Person
- ☐ Land Owner
- ☐ Rule 6 (6)

What kind of representation are you making?

- ☐ Final Comments
- ☐ Proof of Evidence
- ☐ Statement
- ☐ Statement of Common Ground
- ☑ Interested Party/Person Correspondence
- ☐ Other

YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

Luton Airport and / or Luton Borough Council, or connected entities have consistently demonstrated complete disregard for managing or declaring conflict of interests at both a corporate level and in some cases at individual council member level. They have at the same time ridden roughshod over planning conditions and timings and have consistently been economical with the truth. They have asserted a number of claims that are inconsistent with reality. - The Neon jets which were to be quieter appear to be noisier. Their "green" claims just cannot be consistent with significant expansion (with far more planned) and government statements about climate change. Pollution from aviation is recognised to be a very major contributor towards pollution. The fact is that Luton airport is entirely misplaced to be a significant airport with proximity to Heathrow and being overflown by them, and Gatwick on some routes. The surface traffic access is similarly congested at less than current levels. Whilst LBC might gain financially from the airport they have been what to me seems reckless with broader financial issues and accepted best practices. The noise footprint sits in the main, over Hertfordshire and much required road maintenance will be burdened further by any increased traffic. All of this and yet there is no need or demand for further flight provision - indeed the government is asking us to fly less and consider the environment.
This application and any further expansion applications should be totally rejected. There is a very poor history of adherence to any imposed conditions which has demonstrated that an outright and total rejection is the only sensible solution.