For official use only (date received): 31/05/2022 08:23:50

The Planning Inspectorate

COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable. This can be found in the notification letter sent by the local planning authority or the start date letter. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

Appeal Reference: APP/B0230/V/22/3296455

DETAILS OF THE CASE

Appeal Reference	APP/B0230/V/22/3296455
Application By	LONDON LUTON AIRPORT OPERATIONS LIMITED (LLAOL)
Site Address	London Luton Airport Airport Way Luton LU2 9LY Grid Ref Easting: 511908 Grid Ref Northing: 220942

SENDER DETAILS

Name	CLLR KINGS WALDEN PARISH COUNCIL GRAIANO
Address	[redacted]
Company/Group/Organisation Name	Kings Walden Parish Council

ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS

In what capacity do you wish to make representations on this case?

- ☐ Applicant
- ☐ Agent
- ☑ Interested Party / Person
- ☐ Land Owner
- ☐ Rule 6 (6)

What kind of representation are you making?

- ☐ Final Comments
- ☐ Proof of Evidence
- ☐ Statement
- ☐ Statement of Common Ground

☑ Interested Party/Person Correspondence

☐ Other

YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

-We surveyed our residents and they were against expansion
-The (Parish) village has been ignored for many years without being included or consulted in matters to it. How is this fair and transparent, and how does this sound with them stating they have "worked with their communities"? No evidence or little.
-The way the airport has not acted with "community" in mind when building the carparks promoting excessive light pollution, they did absolutely nothing and we have evidence of a meeting I attended at Ramridge Primary School where Robin Porter stated they didn't have a Policy. They played lip-service and now use the DC to use as a tool to be included in "enhanced landscaping" to hide the development. It should have been done already being the "considerate neighbour" We also have some really good pictures of the "Las Vegas" effect to show the inspector.
-The noise monitoring is far too subjective. We experience excessive noise rather than the thousands of complaints from Harpenden/St. Albans who experience noise, the noise debate is not contextualised
-Noise monitoring is not independent and biased (Interpreted as they wish) and rules amended to suit their business model
-The surface-access to the airport had not been considered from a local point of view with LLA putting blame on Herts CC that they conducted a Traffic survey and didn't even think to include our issues. They have not considered the access from Eaton Green road and the carnage this will have for village access and new carpark exit roads filtering out onto Darley Road.
-The compensation scheme needs to be broader and fairer to all residents of the village/hamlets affected in the Parish of KW. It needs to be consistent not based on contour lines focused on Oxford Road. We all experience the same noise subjectively! I experience huge vibrations and rattling of windows to the point house completely shakes. Oxford Rd may get a different effect of vibrations, and noise.
-They the Airport have not considered the current impact on excessive light obstruction causing wildlife, environment damage and health and safety of people trying to sleep ie: mental health. Nothing has been done to date to screen off the excessive light blare on the countryside. Please come and see this for your self, I have evidence and pictures.