For official use only (date received): 17/05/2022 12:17:18

The Planning Inspectorate

COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable. This can be found in the notification letter sent by the local planning authority or the start date letter. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

Appeal Reference: APP/B0230/V/22/3296455

DETAILS OF THE CASE

Appeal Reference	APP/B0230/V/22/3296455
Application By	LONDON LUTON AIRPORT OPERATIONS LIMITED (LLAOL)
Site Address	London Luton Airport Airport Way Luton LU2 9LY Grid Ref Easting: 511908 Grid Ref Northing: 220942

SENDER DETAILS

Name	MR MICHAEL STRAUSS
Address	[redacted]

ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS

In what capacity do you wish to make representations on this case?

- ☐ Applicant
- ☐ Agent
- ☑ Interested Party / Person
- ☐ Land Owner
- ☐ Rule 6 (6)

What kind of representation are you making?

- ☐ Final Comments
- ☐ Proof of Evidence
- ☐ Statement
- ☐ Statement of Common Ground
- ☑ Interested Party/Person Correspondence
- ☐ Other

YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

Luton Airport has ,over the years, developed from a small aerodrome, where incidentally I learnt to fly, into a major Airport. So far as I know it was never part of any national plan, it simply "happened" because it offered cheap landing/take-off slots to budget airlines plus, of course, private jets.
This has all been allowed to come about because the responsible Planning Department is in the employ of the owners of the airport. The argument that it provides income/jobs to Luton is undeniable but the consequent damage and misery that it's presence creates must be taken into account.

Noise is now again increasing which went against the planning conditions which were ignored by the airport. Luton Council make much of the income but what is a plus for Luton is a minus for the rest of the country. All this has been reiterated many times but the airport/council simply ignore or reject any opposition to what it is doing.

The application should be rejected, there are no reasonable grounds to allow a further increase in capacity and absolutely none for an increase in the noise contour limits. I strongly urge the Inspectorate to reject this application, if it is approved it will undoubtedly encourage Luton Airport to further expand by continually giving "itself" new planning approvals. Deja vu ad infinitum!!