For official use only (date received): 17/05/2022 12:19:54

The Planning Inspectorate

COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable. This can be found in the notification letter sent by the local planning authority or the start date letter. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

Appeal Reference: APP/B0230/V/22/3296455

DETAILS OF THE CASE

Appeal Reference	APP/B0230/V/22/3296455
Application By	LONDON LUTON AIRPORT OPERATIONS LIMITED (LLAOL)
Site Address	London Luton Airport Airport Way Luton LU2 9LY Grid Ref Easting: 511908 Grid Ref Northing: 220942

SENDER DETAILS

Name	MR KARL WINGFIELD
Address	[redacted]

ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS

In what capacity do you wish to make representations on this case?

- ☐ Applicant
- ☐ Agent
- ☑ Interested Party / Person
- ☐ Land Owner
- ☐ Rule 6 (6)

What kind of representation are you making?

- ☐ Final Comments
- ☐ Proof of Evidence
- ☐ Statement
- ☐ Statement of Common Ground
- ☑ Interested Party/Person Correspondence
- ☐ Other

YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

The key reasons why this application should be refused are:
It will increase CO2 emissions at a time when government policy is to reduce emissions and the window for doing so is getting smaller day by day so any proposal that increases CO2 emissions needs to be refused otherwise the burden and the cost will fall on the shoulders of everyone else, many of whom are currently facing wide-ranging challenges - it would be unfair to burden them with another cost particularly where the benefits will accrue to a small and wealthier group
It will breach aviation policy which requires a sharing of the costs and benefits of aviation between affected communities - whilst there are no clear benefits from the expansion (all the applicant seems to be offering is a return of economic benefits that existed pre-Covid) all surrounding communities will experience increased environmental costs, more noxious gases, more noise and more road and rail traffic congestion
It will breach aviation and local planning policy which requires noise levels to be reduced not increased as this application proposes
The more detailed objection filed with the planning authority remains relevant too