For official use only (date received): 21/05/2022 08:21:00

The Planning Inspectorate

COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable. This can be found in the notification letter sent by the local planning authority or the start date letter. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

Appeal Reference: APP/B0230/V/22/3296455

DETAILS OF THE CASE

Appeal Reference	APP/B0230/V/22/3296455
Application By	LONDON LUTON AIRPORT OPERATIONS LIMITED (LLAOL)
Site Address	London Luton Airport Airport Way Luton LU2 9LY Grid Ref Easting: 511908 Grid Ref Northing: 220942

SENDER DETAILS

Name	MR GEOFFREY CROWDER
Address	[redacted]

ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS

In what capacity do you wish to make representations on this case?

- ☐ Applicant
- ☐ Agent
- ☑ Interested Party / Person
- ☐ Land Owner
- ☐ Rule 6 (6)

What kind of representation are you making?

- ☐ Final Comments
- ☐ Proof of Evidence
- ☐ Statement
- ☐ Statement of Common Ground
- ☑ Interested Party/Person Correspondence
- ☐ Other

YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

- I strongly oppose any further expansion of Luton Airport to 19 M ppa or relaxation of noise rules
- the proposed expansion is totally inappropriate at this time, and probably permanently inappropriate, for many reasons:
- there is no demonstrable need for growth: demand levels have dropped drastically
- the consultation documents have no practical substance, being merely a strategic vision of aspirations rather than practical detail, and amount to "Greenwash"
- there is no evidence that carbon emissions have been realistically costed, according to the Government's new measurement rules published last year, and the current arrangement is that taxpayers have to fund 75% of such clean-ups
- vast amounts of public money are being used, with no firm evidence that Luton will receive any meaningful benefits
- there is no evidence that the Monorail will change public travel habits, so this vastly inappropriate Monorail project has caused massive pollution by generating unnecessary CO2, with said project providing no evidence that any low carbon concrete was used, thus indicating how Luton are likely to continue with construction aspects of the proposed new project
- the Monorail project also removes bus driver jobs, and so suggests that Luton's long term aim is to automate as many operations as possible, rather than to create more jobs
- there is no evidence that Luton will stop flouting its legal obligations in the same way that it has repeatedly done in the past, during which it has shown itself to be unwilling or just not competent enough to control its own expansion and the noise levels: the supposedly independent watchdog now proposed will not be genuinely independent, so any breaches will not be enforced unless this suits Luton Rising
- personally, living in St Albans, at peak times I am affected badly by aircraft noise and have no prospect of compensation
- neither do I want a timetable of fast trains going from London to Airport Parkway and back, causing less trains to stop at St Albans, although I do not believe that this will happen as there will not be enough train demand: more likely is that there would be vastly increased road congestion between here and Luton, which I do not want either as we have regular and time-critical childcare duties to perform for our grandchildren in Batford and Harpenden