For official use only (date received): 19/05/2022 21:59:37

The Planning Inspectorate

COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable. This can be found in the notification letter sent by the local planning authority or the start date letter. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

Appeal Reference: APP/B0230/V/22/3296455

DETAILS OF THE CASE

Appeal Reference	APP/B0230/V/22/3296455
Application By	LONDON LUTON AIRPORT OPERATIONS LIMITED (LLAOL)
Site Address	London Luton Airport Airport Way Luton LU2 9LY Grid Ref Easting: 511908 Grid Ref Northing: 220942

SENDER DETAILS

Name	MR CHRIS HADEN
Address	[redacted]

ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS

In what capacity do you wish to make representations on this case?

- ☐ Applicant
- ☐ Agent
- ☑ Interested Party / Person
- ☐ Land Owner
- ☐ Rule 6 (6)

What kind of representation are you making?

- ☐ Final Comments
- ☐ Proof of Evidence
- ☐ Statement
- ☐ Statement of Common Ground
- ☑ Interested Party/Person Correspondence
- ☐ Other

YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

Further to my written objection dated 16th Feb 2021 and the verbal evidence I gave at the Planning meeting I add the following. I am tired of spending many hours reading the hundreds or thousands of pages that makes up each planning application relating to airport expansion has. Only to be dismissed by Luton Borough Councillors in minutes as they follow the party line, despite how good I along with other objectors find flaws, inconstancies and lack of sound unbiased evidence in the submissions, and vote all airport related projects through. They are very good at grinding their public down, very good in promoting a 'why bother to object as it will only get approved' lethargy attitude, thus reducing a public response next time and willingness to respond to further airport expansion projects. Every single airport expansion project has always been approved. The Century Park application that was approved is a point in case, it is now on a back burner and renamed Green Horizons, in an attempt to attract the funding for a road. I live alongside the airport and am affected by the operational noise and the planes taking and landing from both directions. Yet I've become accustomed to the operation and so my noise acceptance levels are more used to the noise than those living under the flight paths. I'd expect from the location of responses that you receive to prove this case. I'd expect you to receive more representations from those under the flight paths as Luton residents have become used to the noise and less likely to object. Those supporting the application could use the numbers as a reasonable argument for, alongside those who will support the expansion to 1 million passengers by repeating Luton Borough Council's, Luton Rising's and London Luton Airport Limited constant marketing spin stories.