For official use only (date received): 22/05/2022 11:11:09

The Planning Inspectorate

COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable. This can be found in the notification letter sent by the local planning authority or the start date letter. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

Appeal Reference: APP/B0230/V/22/3296455

DETAILS OF THE CASE

Appeal Reference	APP/B0230/V/22/3296455
Application By	LONDON LUTON AIRPORT OPERATIONS LIMITED (LLAOL)
Site Address	London Luton Airport Airport Way Luton LU2 9LY Grid Ref Easting: 511908 Grid Ref Northing: 220942

SENDER DETAILS

Name	MR GARRY WILLIAMS
Address	[redacted]

ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS

In what capacity do you wish to make representations on this case?

- ☐ Applicant
- ☐ Agent
- ☑ Interested Party / Person
- ☐ Land Owner
- ☐ Rule 6 (6)

What kind of representation are you making?

- ☐ Final Comments
- ☐ Proof of Evidence
- ☐ Statement
- ☐ Statement of Common Ground
- ☑ Interested Party/Person Correspondence
- ☐ Other

YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

I am strongly opposed to this planning application and urge the inspectorate to reject it.

My family have been living in St Albans since July 2007. When we moved here we were not disturbed by aircraft noise. That has all changed in recent years and now we are routinely disturbed by flights from Luton, waking us up early in the morning and during the night. During summer months it is not possible to enjoy sitting in our garden due to the frequency of invasive air traffic noise caused by low-flying aircraft. The thought of the airport being permitted to increase noise pollution is a daunting prospect.

My wife and I have made many noise complaints via the Luton Airport 'Travis' online system. Our complaints are met with frankly pathetic responses, such as "The aircraft that was off course was a private jet" or "The plane that woke you in the night was a cargo aircraft". These responses do not justify the activity or offer us any hope that things will improve for us.

I am dismayed by this planning application. There is no rational justification that I can see for allowing the airport to continue to breach the - already relaxed - noise parameters or to increase air traffic and passenger numbers. The airport needs to be made to examine its operations and consider the profound impact that it has on residents that live under its flight paths.

Over and above the direct affect that any expansion in airport activity will have on my family, the proposed move runs contrary to the radical reduction in greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions required to address the pressing climate emergency.

I urge the inspectorate to reject this application.