For official use only (date received): 23/05/2022 23:21:08

The Planning Inspectorate

COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable. This can be found in the notification letter sent by the local planning authority or the start date letter. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

Appeal Reference: APP/B0230/V/22/3296455

DETAILS OF THE CASE

Appeal Reference	APP/B0230/V/22/3296455
Application By	LONDON LUTON AIRPORT OPERATIONS LIMITED (LLAOL)
Site Address	London Luton Airport Airport Way Luton LU2 9LY Grid Ref Easting: 511908 Grid Ref Northing: 220942

SENDER DETAILS

Name	MR DAVID STEWART
Address	[redacted]

ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS

In what capacity do you wish to make representations on this case?

- ☐ Applicant
- ☐ Agent
- ☑ Interested Party / Person
- ☐ Land Owner
- ☐ Rule 6 (6)

What kind of representation are you making?

- ☐ Final Comments
- ☐ Proof of Evidence
- ☐ Statement
- ☐ Statement of Common Ground

☑ Interested Party/Person Correspondence
☐ Other

YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

while there has been a need for a local airport the continued growth of nuisance from this airport far exceeds any benefits ,

the previous planning conditions have been recklessly ignored and allowing this conduct to be regularised or rewarded in any way is an abuse of the planning system .
if a building had been constructed in blatant contravention of the planning consent it would be required to be removed or demolished .
there is little difference with this case
the question of late night operating is constantly abused .
many of the the airline operating schedules are designed in such a way as to show no regard at all for compliance with late night / early morning return flights
for example scheduling a 2 or 3rd flight on an aircraft ,in the day, to have rotate twice at least and the depart on time to get to a medium length destination and back by about midnight to 1 am is farcical
there is clearly no attempt to actually comply with the planning conditions, other than works of fiction

the volume of passengers was given consent to increase over time in an orderly fashion but instead of implementing a rational plan the cheap deals offered to airlines and the wild west of ignored planning constraints has seen that approved increase wasted in record time
it is a revenue matter the airport should simply charge a more sensible figure to the airlines instead of chasing revenue by passenger growth ,as the only solution ,that is far in excess of what is sensible for this airport
lastly the concept of the airport owner giving themselves approvals ,as the planning authority ,can only bring the planning process into disrepute
this is an abuse of process that needs to be brought back under the control of government