Weaver, Thomas

From: no-reply@southwark.gov.uk
Sent: 26 January 2022 10:26
To: Weaver, Thomas

Subject: Comments for Planning Application 21/AP/4297

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 10:25 AM on 26 Jan 2022 from Mr Martin Gabriel.

Application Summary

Address: Avonmouth House 6 Avonmouth Street London

Southwark SE1 6NX

Demolition of existing building and structures and erection of a part 2, part 7, part 14, and part 16 storey plus basement development comprising 1,733sqm (GIA) of space for Class E employment use and/or community

Proposal: health hub and/or Class F1(a) education use and 233

purpose-built student residential rooms with associated amenity space and public realm works, car and cycle

parking, and ancillary infrastructure

Case Officer: Thomas Weaver Click for further information

Customer Details

Name: Mr Martin Gabriel

Email:

Address:

Comments Details

Commenter

Type:

Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for

- Development too high

comment:

- Loss of light

- Over development

Comments:

Not sure we need any more student accommodation. This appears to be yet another example of the exploitation of a site by a developer to provide short-term lets for maximum profit while ruining it for the people who live nearby. Do we really have to have a wall of high-rise blocking out the sky on this side of the Elephant. Are we supposed to cheer this on as we notice light levels fall. They have clearly been advised to include some minimal commitment to public realm improvements or "community" facilities but these invariably turn out to be token, something that exists in abundance already or is unrecognisable in its final outcome. Why can't we have actual housing for people who are here permanently and need an affordable place

to live? This proposal provides zero benefit for anybody other than the developer and whoever runs the student accommodation facility - no doubt at at exorbitant rates. Could the council for once think about the citizens who vote and pay council tax and would like to see action taken to protect their interests. Is that at all possible? We have had several years already of constant construction noise and disruption. This proposal means yet more of the same. And what has the Rockingham seen in terms of improvements. NOTHING AT ALL. There has not been a single initiative from the council to either mitigate the effects of the constant disruption or to compensate for the loss of light. The community here feel completely ignored by the council.



Protect your friends and family

COVID SYMPTOMS? GET TESTED NOW.

