LUTON P19 CALL IN INQUIRY

SEAN BASHFORTH PLANNING PROOF EVIDENCE
UPDATED ERRATA

Paragraph 3.3– the second paragraph of the quote should read 21.1 sq.km (not 21.6 sq. km) and 42.1 sq. km (not 42.9 sq.km)**.** Subsequent references in paragraphs 3.5 and 3.9 are correct.

Paragraph 4.6 – delete the word 'potentially' in the second line to read: '*LBC has advertised the Proposed Scheme as ~~potentially~~ contrary to policies in LLP6…'*

Paragraph 4.16 – delete text in quote as follows**:**

'*It sets out the pathway to net zero transport in the UK, the wider benefits net zero transport can deliver and the principles that underpin Government's approach to delivering net zero transport. It states that the combining of projections for domestic and international aviation emissions through the inclusion of international aviation in the UK's sixth carbon budget in 2033 means that aviation emissions will continue to fall to 2050. ~~The recent Secretary of state decision on Manston Airport~~ The Decarbonising Transport Plan recognises that the technology pathway to zero emissions is not yet certain for aviation (DTP, page 30) and accepts that where positive emissions remain in transport sectors, these will need to be offset by negative emissions elsewhere across the economy (DTP, 46). However, it also highlights that with the right investment and the emergence of new zero emission technologies it could be possible for achieving even deeper cuts in greenhouse gas emissions from aviation (DTP, page 46)'*

Paragraph 5.2 - reference should be to paragraph 6.5.19 rather than 6.5.9

Paragraph 5.28 – the final sentence should omit the 'not' so that it reads: *'In Mr Thornley-Taylor's PoE he explains that there will be no change in aircraft approach or departure routes so that no new areas will ~~not~~ be overflown.*

Paragraph 5.8 – clarification - the 55dB is the night- time contour and 63dB is the daytime LAeq (16hr) contour

Paragraph 8.9 – amend to read:

*As a non-statutory document, LBC were not obliged to treat the Master Plan as a formal planning submission or planning policy document, with detailed consideration of the **application** ~~explanation~~ being addressed through the DMC which followed. Nevertheless, the Master Plan was prepared in parallel, and informed by, formal application proposals which were the subject of detailed analysis, scrutiny and public consultation.*