Roger Faires response to cross examination question on the Proof of evidence from Nicolas Contentin point 5.30 and table 5.13.

|  |  | AM Peak |  |  | PM Peak |  |  | All Day |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Time | \# Occurance | \% occurance | Cum\% | \# Occurance | \% occurance | Cum \% | \# Occurance | \% occurance | Cum \% |
|  | 1-2min | 29 | 2.57\% | 2.57\% | 39 | 3.34\% | 3.34\% | 634 | 3.06\% | 3.06\% |
|  | 2-3min | 537 | 47.61\% | 50.18\% | 525 | 44.91\% | 48.25\% | 9866 | 47.59\% | 50.65\% |
|  | 3-4min | 283 | 25.09\% | 75.27\% | 291 | 24.89\% | 73.14\% | 4928 | 23.77\% | 74.42\% |
|  | $4-5 \mathrm{~min}$ | 156 | 13.83\% | 89.10\% | 173 | 14.80\% | 87.94\% | 3008 | 14.51\% | 88.93\% |
|  | 5-6min | 84 | 7.45\% | 96.54\% | 92 | 7.87\% | 95.81\% | 1492 | 7.20\% | 96.13\% |
|  | 6-7min | 25 | 2.22\% | 98.76\% | 24 | 2.05\% | 97.86\% | 475 | 2.29\% | 98.42\% |
|  | 7-8min | 9 | 0.80\% | 99.56\% | 12 | 1.03\% | 98.89\% | 168 | 0.81\% | 99.23\% |
|  | 8-9min | 2 | 0.18\% | 99.73\% | 3 | 0.26\% | 99.14\% | 68 | 0.33\% | 99.56\% |
|  | $9-10 \mathrm{~min}$ | 2 | 0.18\% | 99.91\% | 4 | 0.34\% | 99.49\% | 48 | 0.23\% | 99.79\% |
|  | 10-11min | 0 | 0.00\% | 99.91\% | 4 | 0.34\% | 99.83\% | 12 | 0.06\% | 99.85\% |
|  | 11-12min | 1 | 0.09\% | 100.00\% | 1 | 0.09\% | 99.91\% | 9 | 0.04\% | 99.89\% |
|  | $12-13 \mathrm{~min}$ | 0 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 1 | 0.09\% | 100.00\% | 7 | 0.03\% | 99.92\% |
|  | 13 -14min | 0 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 3 | 0.01\% | 99.94\% |
|  | 14.15 min | 0 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 5 | 0.02\% | 99.96\% |
|  | $15-16 \mathrm{~min}$ | 0 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 2 | 0.01\% | 99.97\% |
|  | 16-17min | 0 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 2 | 0.01\% | 99.98\% |
|  | $17-18 \mathrm{~min}$ | 0 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 99.98\% |
|  | $18-19 \mathrm{~min}$ | 0 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 99.98\% |
|  | 19-20min | 0 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 99.98\% |
|  | 20-21min | 0 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 1 | 0.00\% | 99.99\% |
|  | 21-22min | 0 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 99.99\% |
|  | $22-23$ min | 0 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 1 | 0.00\% | 99.99\% |
|  | 23-24min | 0 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 99.99\% |
|  | $24-25$ min | 0 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 1 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
|  | 25-26min | 0 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
|  | 26-27min | 0 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
|  | $27-28 \mathrm{~min}$ | 0 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
|  | 28-29min | 0 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
|  | 29-30min | 0 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
|  | $30 \mathrm{~min}+$ | 0 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 1 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
| 5.13 | Total | 1128 | 100.00\% |  | 1169 | 100.00\% |  | 20731 | 100.00\% |  |


|  |  | total |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
|  | Median time (s) | \%occurance | time |  |
| 1-2 min | 90 | 2.57 | 231.3 |  |
| 2-3mins | 150 | 47.61 | 7141.5 |  |
| 3-4mins | 210 | 25.09 | 5268.9 |  |
| 4-5mins | 270 | 13.83 | 3734.1 |  |
| 5-6mins | 330 | 7.45 | 2458.5 |  |
| 6-7mins | 390 | 2.2 | 858 |  |
| 7-8mins | 450 | 0.8 | 360 |  |
| 8-9mins | 510 | 0.18 | 91.8 |  |
| 9-10mins | 570 | 0.18 | 102.6 |  |
| 10- |  |  |  |  |
| 11mins | 630 |  | 0.09 | 56.7 |
|  |  | Average time | 203.0 | seconds |

Without full data I have taken the middle of the time bands in seconds and multiplied that by the occurrences to then review an average time. This is 203 seconds as the above table, I appreciate this is based on some approximation but it is the best available analysis on the data available from the
table. 203 seconds is greater than the 169 seconds in the modelling. I see this as proof that the data used in the modelling is incorrect rather than any validation and the additional 34 seconds per train would add further delay and frustration at Meldreth road.

However the data doesn't assess the signals or their position on Meldreth Road. Nor does it model the different types of trains.

