Appendix B

CYCLE QUALITY AUDIT

NSD

| Existing Conditions | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|----------------------|--|--------------------------|------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Quality C | riteria Assessment v1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | Route | Link 1 Water Lane | Link 2 What Lane | Link 3 The Embackment | Link 4 Service Road | Link 5 | Link 6 | Link 7 | Link 8 | Link 9 | Link 10 | Link 11 | Link 12 | Link 13 | Link 14 | Link 15 | Link 15 | Link 17 | Link 15 | Link 19 | Link 20 |
| Devide | Borough | LBRuT | LBRuT | LBRuT | LBRuT | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Route information | Project Number Location | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| mormation | Length of link (metres) | 104 | 100 | 135 | 104 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Number of buses per hour (for reference) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Existing Conditions Data inputs (Part 1a) | Is this a one-way or two-way street? | One-way | One-way | One-way | Two-way | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | What is the peak hour motor vehicle flow? 2 | 54 | 43 | 50 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | What is the 85th %ile speed? (mph) ? | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | What is the width of the nearside running lane for general traffic? 2 (metres - include the width of kerbaide bays) | 7.4 | 6.1 | 6.9 | 3.9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | What is the width of the kerbside parking / loading? (metres) | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | NA | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Turning risk - does the existing arrangement fulfil the criteria? (see 2 Guidance Notes tab) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | What is the peak hour HGV flow? ? | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | What is the peak nour now now as a % of the total motor vehicle ? | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Us | er comments on data inputs 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Output 1a | Are existing conditions expected to be suitable for people cycling to be mixed with motor traffic? | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dedicated space for cycling (Part 1b) | Is a light segregated cycle lane or full separation provided currently? ? | No | Na | No | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Output 1b | Recommended action | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Data inputs for where there is existing dedicated space for cycling (Part 2) | Layout of light segregated cycle lane, track or shared use facility, if currently provided 2 | NA | Shared use | NA | NA | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Existing width of cycle lane, track or shared use facility (metres) | | t.tm | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Existing buffer zone width adjacent to kerbaide activity where a cycle lane is provided (metres) | | 0.3 - 0.4m | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Does the layout provide a cycle early release signal at signal controlled junctions, where needed? | NA | NA | NA | NA | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Are conflicting movements between cycle traffic and motor traffic separated with dedicated signals for cycles, where needed? | NA | N/A. | NA | NA | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Output 2 | Additional design considerations | | Expected to provide a good level of provision for cycling | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Us | er comments on data inputs 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Proposed Design

Quality Criteria Assessment v1

| | | Link 1 | Link 2 | Link 3 | Link 4 |
|---|--|---|---|---|------------|
| | Route | Water Lane | Wharf Lane | The Embankment | Service Re |
| | Borough | LBRuT | LBRuT | LBRuT | LBRuT |
| Route information | Project Number | | | | |
| | Location | | • | | |
| | Length of link (metres) | 104 | 106 | 46 | 101 |
| | Number of buses per hour (for reference) | | | | |
| | Is this a one-way or two-way street? | Two-way | Two-way | Two-way | Two-wa |
| | What is the expected peak hour motor vehicle flow? | 2 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| | What is the expected 85th %ile speed? (mph) | 2 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Proposed Design | Are measures proposed to reduce speeds at this location? (requires existing speeds to be filled out) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Data inputs | What is the proposed width of the nearside running lane for general traffic? (metres - include the width of kerbside bays) | 7.5 | 5.7 | 12.9 | 3.9 |
| (Part 1a) | What is the proposed width of the kerbside parking / loading? (metres) | 2 | 2 | 2.5 | N/A |
| | Turning risk - does the proposed arrangement fulfil the criteria? (see Guidance Notes tab) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| | What is the expected peak hour HGV flow? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | What is the peak hour HGV flow as a % of the total motor vehicle flow for that hour? | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| | er comments on data inputs | | | | |
| including proposed d | lesign features that are anticipated to impact on the criteria) | | | | |
| | Are proposed conditions expected to be | | | | |
| Output 1a | suitable for people cycling to be mixed | Yes | Yes | Yes | |
| | with motor traffic? | | | | |
| Proposed | | | | | |
| dedicated space for cycling (Part 1b) | Is a light segregated cycle lane or full separation proposed? | | No | No | No |
| Output 1b | Recommended action | Expected to be suitable for cyclists to be mixed with general traffic | Expected to be suitable for cyclists to be mixed with general traffic | Expected to be suitable for cyclists to be mixed with general traffic | |
| | | | 1 | | |
| | Layout of light segregated cycle lane, track or shared use facility, if proposed | N/A | Shared use | N/A | N/A |
| Data inputs for when dedicated | Proposed width of cycle lane, track or shared use facility (metres) | - | | | |
| space for cycling | Proposed buffer zone width adjacent to kerbside activity where a cycle lane is provided (metres) | <u>-</u> | | | |
| is proposed (Part 2) | Does the design provide a cycle early release signal at signal controlled junctions, where needed? | N/A | | N/A | N/A |
| | Are conflicting movements between cycle traffic and motor traffic separated with dedicated signals for cycles, where needed? | N/A | | N/A | N/A |
| Output 2 | Additional design considerations | | | | |
| User c | omments on proposed approach | | | | |

| 4 Road | Link 5 |
|-----------|--------|
| Road | |
| Т | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| ay | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| , | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |